

# RECEPTOR-LIGAND INTERACTIONS

Which technique is right for your study?

	Description	Deliverables	Sample/ Materials	PROs and CONS
Radioligand Binding	Radiometric technique used to measure ligand receptor binding parameters (96-well plates) (+)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>K_d</math></li> <li><math>B_{max}</math></li> <li><math>IC_{50}</math></li> <li><math>K_i</math></li> <li><math>k_{on}</math> and <math>k_{off}</math></li> </ul>	Receptor sources (+) Radioligands (+)	Pros ✓ Cons ✗
Receptor Autoradiography	Radiometric technique based on quantitative phosphor imaging of radioactivity in tissue sections from untreated or drug-treated animals (glass slides) (+)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>K_d</math></li> <li><math>B_{max}</math></li> <li>%Receptor occupancy versus dose</li> <li><math>ED_{50}</math></li> </ul>	Receptor sources (+) Radioligands (+)	Pros ✓ Cons ✗
Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)	Label-free optical detection technique used to measure interacting biomolecules kinetics parameters (sensor chip) (+)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>K_d</math></li> <li><math>k_{on}</math></li> <li><math>k_{off}</math></li> <li>Selectivity/crossreactivity</li> <li>Binding inhibition</li> <li>Analyte concentration</li> </ul>	Ligands and analytes (+) Sample media (+)	Pros ✓ Cons ✗
ELISAs	Immunoassay to detect target proteins using antibodies (96-well plates) (+)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Target concentration</li> <li>Relative comparison of expression levels between tissues or treatments</li> </ul>	Target protein sources (+)	Pros ✓ Cons ✗
Fluorescence Assays	Fluorescence-based assays used to measure downstream signalling of receptors upon ligand binding in live cells (96-well/384-well plates) (+)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>E_{max}</math></li> <li><math>EC_{50}</math></li> <li><math>IC_{50}</math></li> </ul>	Live cells (endogenous or transfected receptors)	Pros ✓ Cons ✗
Cellular Uptake & Release	Radiometric technique used to measure transport of molecules into cells or release of labelled molecules from cells (96-well plate for uptake/perfusion chambers for release) (+)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compounds potency and efficacy against membrane transporters</li> <li>Compounds efflux from cells</li> <li><math>IC_{50}</math> and <math>K_i</math> for test compounds to inhibit cellular uptake of radiolabelled substrates</li> <li><math>V_{max}</math> and <math>K_m</math> for transport of labelled substrates</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Live cells</li> <li>Synaptosomes</li> </ul> Radioligands (+)	Pros ✓ Cons ✗

## Radioligand binding assays are recommended for:



Determining ligand-receptor affinity and receptor expression levels (saturation assays)



Determining affinity of unlabelled test compounds competing with a radiolabelled compound (competition assays)



Determining kinetics for association and dissociation of radiolabelled ligand to the receptor



Determining protein and antibody affinity to membrane targets



Evaluating allosteric binding



RADIOLIGAND BINDING

## Suitable receptors for radioligand binding can be obtained from:



Cell membranes (from wild-type or transfected cells)



Tissue homogenates



Whole cells



Immobilized recombinant receptors



RADIOLIGAND BINDING

## Suitable radioligands for radioligand binding are:



[<sup>3</sup>H], [<sup>35</sup>S], [<sup>125</sup>I]-labelled compounds (commercially available or custom synthesized)



RADIOLIGAND BINDING

## Radioligand binding advantages:

- ✓ High-sensitivity
- ✓ High-throughput
- ✓ Robust
- ✓ Works well on membrane receptors
- ✓  $^3\text{H}$  labelling can be used for small molecules without changing structure
- ✓  $^{125}\text{I}$  custom labelling can be used for peptides, proteins and antibodies



RADIOLIGAND BINDING

## Radioligand binding disadvantages:

- × Works best when radioligand  $K_d < \sim 50$  nM
- × A suitable high-affinity radioligand may need to be custom synthesized (if not commercially available)
- × Cytoplasmic (soluble) proteins need to be first immobilized



RADIOLIGAND BINDING

## Receptor autoradiography is recommended for:



Determining distribution of receptor-ligand binding sites



Quantifying regional receptor levels



Conducting *ex vivo* receptor occupancy studies to determine the percentage of receptor occupancy produced by a given dose of drug administered to the live animal



RECEPTOR  
AUTORADIOGRAPHY

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED

## Suitable receptors for receptor autoradiography can be obtained from:



Rodent tissue or organs from untreated or drug treated animals



Human tissues (postmortem or from surgical removal)



NHP tissues (postmortem)



RECEPTOR  
AUTORADIOGRAPHY

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED



## Suitable radioligands for receptor autoradiography are:



[<sup>3</sup>H], [<sup>35</sup>S], [<sup>125</sup>I]-labelled compounds (commercially available or custom synthesized)



RECEPTOR  
AUTORADIOGRAPHY

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED

## Receptor autoradiography advantages:

- ✓ High-sensitivity
- ✓ Low amount of tissue required
- ✓ Can be used to detect binding to small tissue regions
- ✓ For *ex vivo* occupancy studies, lower cost than PET approaches and applicable to a wider range of receptors
- ✓  $^3\text{H}$  labelling can be used for small molecules without changing structure
- ✓  $^{125}\text{I}$  custom labelling can be used for peptides, proteins and antibodies



RECEPTOR  
AUTORADIOGRAPHY

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED

## Receptor autoradiography disadvantages:

- × Receptor should be present at sufficient abundance in the tissues
- × A suitable high-affinity radioligand may need to be custom synthesized (if not commercially available)
- × *Ex vivo* studies may underestimate receptor occupancy if test unlabelled drug dissociates appreciably from the receptor during the in vitro incubation period in radioligand



RECEPTOR  
AUTORADIOGRAPHY

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED



# Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) is recommended for:



Testing antibody-antigen interaction



Determining binding kinetics parameters of a variety of molecules (proteins, carbohydrates, small molecules etc.)



SPR  
(BIACORE)

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED



## Suitable ligands and analytes for SPR are:



Compounds



Peptides



Proteins and antibodies



Saccharides



SPR  
(BIACORE)



## Suitable sample media for SPR:



Buffer ± solvent



Serum



Plasma



SPR  
(BIACORE)



## SPR advantages:

- ✓ High-sensitivity
- ✓ High-reproducibility
- ✓ Label-free detection
- ✓ Low sample required
- ✓ Tolerance for sample impurity
- ✓ Real-time monitoring



SPR  
(BIACORE)

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED

## SPR disadvantages:

- × Not suitable for whole cells
- × Works best with non-membrane proteins
- × Binding response is dependent on target and ligand MW ratio
- × Small molecule binding to large receptor proteins hard to detect



SPR  
(BIACORE)



## ELISAs assays are recommended for:



Determining target protein expression levels



Confirming cell surface expression of membrane receptors



Assessing effect of drug treatments on the target expression profile



ELISAs



## Target proteins for ELISAs can be obtained from:



Tissue homogenates



Cell lysates



Plasma



ELISAs



## ELISAs advantages:

- ✓ High-sensitivity
- ✓ High-specificity
- ✓ Label-free detection



ELISAs



## ELISAs disadvantages:

- × Lengthy protocols reduce high-throughput capabilities
- × Relies on availability of antibodies that bind the target proteins



ELISAs



## Fluorescence assays are recommended for:



Determining the effect of ligand-receptor interaction on cell signalling pathway(s)



Profiling the pharmacology of the compound/receptors (agonist, inverse agonist, antagonist, allosteric modulators etc.)



**FLUORESCENCE  
ASSAYS**

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED



## Fluorescence assay advantages:

- ✓ High-throughput
- ✓ Suitable for functional studies



**FLUORESCENCE  
ASSAYS**

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED



## Fluorescence assay disadvantages:

- × Some test molecules may exhibit intrinsic fluorescence (quenching) that might reduce accuracy and sensitivity
- × Background fluorescence from cells may increase the signal-to-noise ratio



FLUORESCENCE  
ASSAYS

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED



## Cellular uptake & release assays are recommended for:



Determining cellular uptake and release of labelled substrates, drugs, neurotransmitters or proteins



CELLULAR UPTAKE  
& RELEASE

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED





## Suitable radioligands for cellular uptake & release are:



[<sup>3</sup>H], [<sup>14</sup>C], [<sup>35</sup>S], [<sup>125</sup>I]-labelled compounds (commercially available or custom synthesized)



CELLULAR UPTAKE  
& RELEASE

**gifford**  
BIOSCIENCE LIMITED

## Cellular uptake & release advantages:

- ✓ High-sensitivity
- ✓ Robust
- ✓ Uptake and release can be determined over time
- ✓  $^3\text{H}$  labelling can be used for small molecules without changing structure
- ✓  $^{125}\text{I}$  custom labelling can be used for peptides, proteins, and/or antibodies in uptake assays



CELLULAR UPTAKE  
& RELEASE

## Cellular uptake & release disadvantages:

- × Low throughput relative to radioligand binding assay approaches
- × A suitable high-affinity radioligand may need to be custom synthesized (if not commercially available)
- × Subcellular localization of labelled substrate cannot be determined without further processing



CELLULAR UPTAKE  
& RELEASE

